





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/582,752	12/26/2000		Kazuhito Hatta	P00.1335	2796	
7	590	02/05/2003				
David R Metz			EXAMINER			
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal PO Box #061080 Wacker Drive Station Sears Tower				MAPLES, JOHN S		
Chicago, IL 60606-1080				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1745	10	
				DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
••	09/582,752	HATTA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John S. Maples	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) oill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-2	<u>6-2002</u> .	3					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-48</u> ie/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>41-48</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-40</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		vaminas					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	·					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	ation No					
application from the International Bur	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/582,752 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

1. Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. The amendment filed 11-26-2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the insert on page 36 comprises the new matter. Such insertion should have been filed with the original application papers.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 25-31 and 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fukuda et al.-US 6,001,505 ('505)

Reference is made to Figures 1-3 of '505 along with column 4, lines 4-40 and column 7, line 18 through column 9, line 67. The '505 patent discloses the dual insulating layer around each of the external terminals of the non-aqueous battery.

5. Claims 25-28 and 35-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fukuda et al.-US 6,004,693 ('693)

Application/Control Number: 09/582,752

Art Unit: 1745

See column 2, lines 54-68, column 4, lines 54-63 of '693 and column 7, lines 33-45 along with all of the drawing figures therein. The '693 patent sets forth the insulating layer around each of the battery terminals.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 25, 29, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '505.

The '505 patent teaches all of the claimed subject matter except for the acid denatured polyolefin. To form the exterior layer of the insulating layers in '505 of a denatured polyolefin would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made because the same would improve the adhesion properties of the said polyolefin. This is especially important in the '505 patent to seal the battery so no electrolyte would leak out.

Application/Control Number: 09/582,752

Art Unit: 1745

9.

Page 4

examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 703-308-1795. The

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:15-3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular

communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John S. Maples

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1745

JSM

January 30; 2003